

**Ilene Stahl  
21553 SE 28<sup>th</sup> Lane  
Sammamish, WA 98075**

**EXHIBIT NO. 257.**

**I am here tonight representing Friends of Pine Lake, a volunteer organization which has fought to protect the plateau environment since 1998. I want to comment on the evaluation forms being used in this ECA process because its important to see WHAT is being proposed and HOW that is being done. The commission clearly represents the views of property rights entities, developers, dock builders and those individuals who want to have the best financial return for their investment. They have resources and have lobbied hard for what they want. However, it is important to note that these individuals are, by far, a minority in the City of Sammamish and some are not even residents. So let's look at the process from a more objective point of view.**

**The main point of the Environmental Critical Areas review is to evaluate whether existing regulations are working to protect public resources and, if not, to update them by applying Best Available Science. First we need to know if the science applied in 2005 worked. Has the environment been degraded or stayed the same? Have our salmon populations increased or decreased? In these forms, we see no evaluation or consideration of that kind at all.**

**In fact there has been significant environmental degradation in Sammamish. Since the last environmental review, there have been three major landslides in the Ebright Creek Ravine, something that had not been documented in the previous 35 years. The slides were due to development in the Thompson Basin and caused considerable damage to private property. One of these landslides decimated one year's entire salmon run. On our watch, the native Lake Sammamish Kokanee Salmon has gone from thriving to the brink of extinction. Local agencies and private citizens have had to go to significant lengths and enormous cost to try to save them. But none of this figures into the evaluations done here. To the contrary, the Planning Commission is recommending changes to the Erosion Hazards Overlay which would allow significant reductions in the regulations protecting overlay zones. Other changes to the overlay would allow discharges of stormwater via pipeline straight into Lake Sammamish. Best Available**

**Science does not support these changes, but that counts for nothing. Because of the ongoing degradation in the Erosion Hazard Overlay, and the failure of regulations to prevent the decline, it is obvious that this regulation needs to be tightened, not loosened.**

**The process is skewed because, in these evaluation forms, the value of the environment is reduced to one third of the equation. The environment is given equal weight with both property rights and with staff's ease at implementing regulations. Here is an example of what happens when using the evaluation form.**

**OK. So, this is our environment (supposedly the focus of this process). Something alive and precious, something essential to our lives, and safety, something that everyone agrees has enormous value. But its value is real, unlike this paper. Nonetheless, let's evaluate its value on paper. In the equation being used by the Planning Commission, property rights have equal value with the environment, (so take away one third). Then again, staff implementation, ironically, carries just as much weight as well, (so take away another third). What you have left is the value being placed on the environment by the current process. It counts for less than half of the equation! How can our resources possibly be protected under this scenario? They can't and they aren't.**

**The Sammamish environment deserves much more consideration and weight in this process. In fact, the environment deserves to have the greatest consideration, not just a fraction. Our earth environment, the land and water is a living thing. We do a great disservice to our resources when we dissect them into parts on paper and then cut away what appears to be insignificant. We lose sight of the value and function of the whole, we serve the interests of a few, and the greater need of our citizens is not served.**